CosmoSIS Webinar Part 1

An introduction to cosmological parameter estimation and sampling

Presenting for the CosmoSIS team: Elise Jennings (Fermilab, KICP) Joe Zuntz (University of Manchester) Vinicius Miranda (University of Pennsylvania)

Goal of this webinar



- How do I generate images like this if I have some data ?
- How do I combine datasets to produce different contours ?
- If a sampler is performing poorly, can I use a different one ?

Overview

- Models and parameter spaces
- CosmoSIS
- Sampling methods
- Metropolis Hastings theory & example in CosmoSIS
- Making plots from MCMCs

Cosmological parameters

 $\{A_s, n_s, H_0, \Omega_m, \Omega_b, \tau\}$

Given the precision of our current and future experiments, we rarely can use analytical solutions to predict observables!

Calculations are getting increasingly complicated.

Examples:

CMB: Require Boltzmann Codes, e.g. CAMB

LSS: Need codes to model non-linear corrections to the matter power spectrum, e.g. Halofit, emulators

Model	Parameter	General meaning	Needed for
Flat LCDM	Omega_m	Matter density fraction	Background evolution
	H_0	Expansion rate	Background evolution
Adding parameters to the model	Omega_b	Baryon density fraction	Thermal history
	A_s, sigma_8	Variance of cosmic density structure	Structure formation
	n_s	Structure scale-dependence power law	Structure formation
LCDM	Omega_k	Curvature	Background evolution
nuLCDM	Omega_nu	Neutrino density fraction	Small-scale structure
-	massive_nu	Number of massive neutrinos	Small-scale structure
	massless_nu	Number of massless neutrinos	Small-scale structure
wCDM	W	Dark Energy constant equation of state	Background evolution
Extended DE	w_a, w_p,	Dark Energy varying equation of state	Background evolution

Nuisance parameters

Most datasets require additional "Nuisance parameters"

These can describe

- Data-specific physical effects (e.g.: supernova light curve standardization)
- Foregrounds (e.g. dust foreground parameters)
- Parameters for instrument systematics (e.g. calibration parameters)

Example: The Planck 2015 likelihood can have 16 different nuisance parameters: https://wiki.cosmos.esa.int/planckpla2015/index.php/CMB_spectrum_%26_Likelihood_Code

Parameter spaces

Inference takes place in a multi-dimensional parameter space.

-can be highly non-Gaussian!

Given a model, M: which regions of parameter space are reasonable fits to the data?

Probabilities in this space are functions of many variables: $P(\Omega_b, \Omega_m, H_0, ...)$

Caution: Intuition becomes bad as the dimension of the parameter space increases.



Parameter estimation: what does a sampler output?

• $H_0 = 78 \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ Best fit only



 $H_0 = (78 \pm 8) \text{ km/s/Mpc}$ simple error bar (Max likelihood methods)



A true measurement of a parameter is a probability function showing its Posterior probability distribution function.

Often summarize distributions using just mean and variance

This is only a complete description in special cases like Gaussian distributions

Suyu et al (2016)



 $\mathcal{L}(D|\theta, M)\pi(\theta|M)$ $p(\theta|D, M) =$ Set of parameters

Constraining cosmological parameters given data

- Step 1: At given point in parameter space: generate a prediction $M(\Omega_b, \Omega_m, H_0,...)$.
 - Example: compute CMB power spectrum
- Step 2: Compute a probability of the data given that prediction
 - Example: Use Planck likelihood
- Step 3: Use Bayes Theorem to get the posterior given some prior
 - Example: use local measurements of H0 as a prior
- Step 4: Sampler proposes next point in the parameter space to evaluate likelihood
 - Example: MCMC step through parameter space using proposal distribution

Given the precision of current experiments, developing software for steps 1 and 2 can be quite challenging.

Overview

• Models and parameter spaces

CosmoSIS

- Sampling methods
- Metropolis Hastings theory & example in CosmoSIS
- Making plots from MCMCs

CosmoSIS goal: connecting components



Example: CosmoSIS cosmological model

Type 1A Supernova Likelihood



CosmoSIS homework

In CosmoSIS we can evaluate a single likelihood using the *test* sampler.

We will show this using CosmoSIS Demo 2 now, which generates a single CMB likelihood.

See the wiki for how to run this yourself.

- Metropolis-Hastings
- Nested Sampling (Multinest)
- Ensemble Samplers (Emcee, Kombine)

There are many sampling methods available, not specific to cosmology, with many approaches and implementations

- Approximate Bayesian Computation
- Grid Explorers (Snake)
- Fisher Forecasting
- Maximum Likelihoods (Minuit)

Cosmology model prediction codes

CAMB	Colossus	
CLASS	CosmoCalc	
CosmoLike	AstroPy	
SNANA	CosmoMC	
MGCAMB	Cosmolopy	
MGCLASS		
IsltGR	Many codes to predict different ingredients and steps in cosmological models.	
EFTCamb		

Cosmology data sets

Cepheid Variables

Type IA Supernovae

Baryon Acoustic Oscillations

Strong Lensing

Light element abundances

Globular cluster ages

Cosmic Microwave Background

Redshift Space Distortions

Weak Lensing

Large-Scale Structure

Cluster Counts

21cm line structure

Lyman Alpha Forest

Each probe is sensitive to different cosmological parameters and have different nuisance parameters

CosmoSIS: more complex example - lensing spectra

- Sequence of calculations is needed for structure likelihoods like lensing
- Like many observables, lensing depends on *matter power spectrum*
- Each module solves one complex task (if you own a state-of-the-art code - share them. Yaml files guides citation)
- General picture remains the same:
 - Solve equations to compute underlying theory prediction
 - Use stats to compute likelihood



Overview

- Models and parameter spaces
- CosmoSIS

• Sampling methods

- Metropolis Hastings theory & example in CosmoSIS
- Making plots from MCMCs

We want to have a set of points the parameter space are *representative of the posterior distribution*

- Higher density <=> higher probability
- Density ratio <=> Probability ratio
- Sample moments ~ posterior moments (modulo shot noise)
- Volume where 68% of the points are located -> 68% confidence region



2

3.0 2.5

2.0

3 4

16 samples

We want to have a set of points the parameter space are *representative of the posterior distribution*

1. Need to efficiently explore areas of good fit

This is not trivial in an high-dimensional space

<u>Classical example: n-dimensional sphere</u> <u>contained in an n-dimensional cube</u>

We want the number of points needed to represent the posterior to not be an exponential function of the number of dimensions

$$\frac{V_n(\text{Sphere})}{V_n(\text{Cube})} \to 0, \text{ as } n \to \infty$$

We want to have a set of points the parameter space are *representative of the posterior distribution*

1. Need to efficiently explore areas of good fit

This is not trivial for arbitrary shapes

Classical example: banana shape posterior

We want methods that are robust to a variety of posterior shapes



We want to have a set of points the parameter space are *representative of the posterior distribution*

1. Need to efficiently explore areas of good fit

This is not trivial for arbitrary problems

Classical example: problems with fast/slow dimensions



We will see that there are a variety of methods and no method can claim to be the best one in all situations

Overview

- Models and parameter spaces
- CosmoSIS
- Sampling methods
- Metropolis Hastings theory & example in CosmoSIS
- Making plots from MCMCs

Most approaches are in a class called Monte Carlo Markov Chains (MCMC)

Many algorithms but important classic is *Metropolis-Hastings*



We want to represent the entire posterior distribution

Therefore samples must be able to go from high to low probability regions



We want to represent the entire posterior distribution

Go from low to high probability regions is always allowed.



We want to represent the entire posterior distribution

Sometimes going from high to low probability regions is allowed.



We want to represent the entire posterior distribution

Transition from high to low probability regions depends on the exact probability ratio



When a new proposed step is rejected, multiplicity of the current point must increase



How do I know when to stop sampling?

Determining convergence is not trivial. What converge means may depend somewhat on what you want

- Look at trace plots ->
- Check Gelman-Rubin test
- Evaluate Z scores
- What if posterior is multi-modal?
- How to check errors on the contours?
- What if likelihoods based on posterior density is needed (KDE for example)?



Overview

- Models and parameter spaces
- CosmoSIS
- Sampling methods
- Metropolis Hastings theory & example in CosmoSIS
- Making plots from MCMCs

Metropolis-Hastings: CosmoSIS example

We will now switch to a terminal and run a Metropolis-Hastings example:

> cosmosis demos/metropolis.ini

Plots & Constraints from MCMC

- By construction we can make probability density plots from MCMCs by making a simple histogram in 1D or 2D
- This automatically marginalizes over the other parameters
- Can also smooth these to remove some of the scatter



CosmoSIS Plotting

- > postprocess param_file_name.ini or
- > postprocess chain.txt





Demo 9: Scatter Plots



More Questions & Further Reading

Demos can be found on the CosmoSIS Wiki:

https://bitbucket.org/joezuntz/cosmosis/wiki/Home